

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA



FILED
08/14/19
01:14 PM

COMMISSIONER CLIFFORD RECHTSCHAFFEN,
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE PATRICK DOHERTY, presiding

)	PREHEARING
)	CONFERENCE
Order Instituting Rulemaking to)	
Consider Authorization of a)	
Non-Bypassable Charge to Support)	
California's Wildfire Fund.)	Rulemaking
)	19-07-017
)	
)	
)	

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
San Francisco, California
August 8, 2019
Pages 1 - 46
PHC

Reported by: Karly Powers, CSR No. 13991
Andrea Ross, CSR No. 7896

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I N D E X

PARTY STATUS GRANTED

Institutional Equity Investors	7
California Large Energy Consumers Association	8
Energy Producers and Users Coalition	9
California Manufacturers and Technology Association	10
Trans Bay Cable, LLC	11
Horizon West Transmission, LLC	12
California Farm Bureau Federation	12
Western States Petroleum Associates	13
Solar Energy Industries Association	13
William Abrams	14
Coalition of California Utility Employees	15
California Choice Energy Authority	16
Pioneer Community Energy	16
Silicon Valley Clean Energy Authority	16
Redwood Coast Energy Authority	17
Monterey Bay Community Power Authority	17
Sonoma Clean Power Authority	17
Marin Clean Energy	17
Peninsula Clean Energy Authority	18
Wild Tree Foundation	19
Utility Consumers Action Network	20
Ruth Henricks	20
Center for Accessible Technology	21
Public Advocates Office	21
The Utility Reform Network	22

1 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
2 AUGUST 8, 2019 - 10:00 A.M.

3 * * * * *

4 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DOHERTY:

5 Let's be on the record.

6 Good morning, everyone. The
7 Commission will please come to order. This
8 is the time and place for the Prehearing
9 Conference for Rulemaking 19-07-017. This
10 Rulemaking responds to legislative direction
11 in Assembly Bill 1054, otherwise known as
12 AB1054. This proceeding will consider
13 whether the Commission should exercise its
14 authority under Public Utilities Code
15 Section 701, to require certain electrical
16 corporations to collect from ratepayers a
17 non-bypassable charge to support California's
18 new Wildfire Fund.

19 Before we get started, I just wanted
20 to advise everyone that we have two exits to
21 this room in the case of an emergency.
22 There's one behind me. Follow the signs to
23 McAllister Street. When you get to Van Ness
24 Avenue on McAllister, turn south, and then
25 proceed to the garden in between the Herbst
26 Theatre and the Opera House.

27 If you leave out that door, you're
28 just going to go back the way you came. You

1 will go down the courtyard, again, to the
2 intersection of McAllister and Van Ness. You
3 will proceed southbound on Van Ness and then
4 turn right into the courtyard between the
5 Herbst Theatre and the Opera House. That's
6 where we'll assemble in the case of an
7 emergency. And, if necessary, I will call
8 911.

9 All right. As you may know, this
10 rulemaking was filed on July 26th 2019, and
11 was noticed on the daily calendar.
12 Prehearing conference, or PHC, statements
13 were filed on August 6th and 7th, 2019. For
14 those of you who don't know me, my name is
15 Patrick Doherty, and I'm the assigned
16 Administrative Judge for this proceeding.
17 The assigned Commissioner is Clifford
18 Rechtschaffen, and he's here with us today on
19 the dais.

20 My agenda for today is, first, to
21 go over the service list, followed by the
22 scope of the issues, and, finally, discuss
23 the schedule for the remainder of the
24 proceeding. A determination on the
25 aforementioned topics will be finalized in a
26 scoping ruling to be issued by the Assigned
27 Commissioner's office.

28 A reminder for everyone, including

1 myself, to please speak slowly and clearly
2 for the benefit of our court reporter and not
3 to speak over one another.

4 The first order of business today
5 is to develop the service list. In
6 Commission rulemakings, the respondents are
7 automatically parties to the proceeding and
8 are added to the list. For each company or
9 organization, there will be one
10 representative listed as the party. All
11 others will be listed as an information only.

12 I will now read the names of the
13 respondents to the Order Instituting
14 Rulemaking. They are already parties to the
15 proceeding. I ask that the representative
16 present for each respondent state their
17 appearance for the record today. Starting
18 with Southern California Edison Company,
19 otherwise known as SCE.

20 MR. CHEN: Gary Chen, Attorney for
21 Southern California Edison Company.

22 ALJ DOHERTY: Liberty Utilities/Calpico
23 Electric, otherwise known as Liberty.

24 MR. GIBSON: Good morning, your Honor.
25 Jed Gibson, G-i-b-s-o-n, on half behalf of
26 Liberty Utilities.

27 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you, sir.

28 Bear Valley Electric Service, a

1 division Golden State Water Company,
2 otherwise known as Bear Valley.

3 MR. YANNEY: Good morning. Fred Yanney
4 representing Golden State Water for Bear
5 Valley. And with me is Vice President Keith
6 Switzer.

7 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you, sir.
8 Pacific Gas and Electric Company,
9 otherwise known as PG&E.

10 MR. WARNER: Thank you, your Honor.
11 Christopher Warner, attorney representing
12 Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

13 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you, sir.
14 Pacific Power, a division of
15 PacifiCorp.

16 MR. GIBSON: Yes. Jed Gibson, also
17 here on behalf of the PacifiCorp.

18 ALJ DOHERTY: Welcome back.
19 San Diego Gas and Electric Company,
20 otherwise known as SDG&E.

21 MR. LYONS: Good morning, your Honor.
22 Chris Lyons, attorney on behalf of the SDG&E.

23 ALJ DOHERTY: Very good. Thank you for
24 the Respondents for joining us today.

25 I'm now going to go over the written
26 requests for party status that I have before
27 me. After I going to go over the written
28 requests we'll go to oral motions.

1 Andrew Brown for the Institutional
2 Equity Investors. Are you here, Mr. Brown?

3 MS. HAUG: Thank you, your Honor.

4 Lynn Haug, H-a-u-g, here on behalf
5 of Mr. Brown. He was unable to be here this
6 morning, but he will be participating
7 actively on behalf of the organization.

8 ALJ DOHERTY: Okay. Go ahead and stand
9 up for me, because I've a couple questions.

10 MS. HAUG: Yes.

11 ALJ DOHERTY: So this will be for
12 everyone that seeks to be a party; I'm not
13 piking on you. What is the interest of the.
14 Institutional Equity Investors in this
15 proceeding?

16 MS. HAUG: The Institutional Equity
17 Investors have a general interest in the
18 issues as scoped in the proceeding and intend
19 to file comments.

20 ALJ DOHERTY: Okay. Thank you for
21 that. Party status is granted. Welcome
22 aboard.

23 (Institutional Equity Investors was
24 granted Party Status.)

25 ALJ DOHERTY: And I'll have Nora
26 Sheriff for the California Large Energy
27 Consumers Association.

28 MS. KAHL: Good morning, Commissioner

1 and your Honor. Lillian Rafii, R-a-f-i-i,
2 for Nora Sheriff, S-h-e-r-i-f-f, standing in
3 on behalf of the California Large Energy
4 Consumers Association, or CLECA. CLECA
5 members comprise of large industrial
6 customers in PG&E and SCE's service
7 territory. And their interest is as
8 ratepayers and, specifically, as to whether
9 the charge is just and reasonable and whether
10 the estimated dollar amount of the revenue
11 requirement referred to in Public Utilities
12 Code 3289, how that is set.

13 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you, Ms. Rafii.
14 How does CLECA plan to participate in the
15 proceeding?

16 MS. RAFII: Actively.

17 ALJ DOHERTY: By filing comments, I
18 assume?

19 MS. RAFII: By filing comments.

20 ALJ DOHERTY: Okay. Thank you.

21 The motion is granted. Welcome
22 aboard.

23 (California Large Energy Consumers
24 Association was granted Party
25 Status.)

26 MS. RAFII: Thank you.

27 ALJ DOHERTY: Evelyn Kahl for the
28 Energy Producers and Users Coalition.

1 MS. KAHL: Yes, your Honor.

2 Would you like me to stand?

3 ALJ DOHERTY: I don't think it's
4 necessary, given you're on the front row.

5 MS. KAHL: Thank you.

6 ALJ DOHERTY: But could you state the
7 interest of EPUC and how you plan to
8 participate in the proceeding.

9 MS. KAHL: Yes. I'm Evelyn Kahl,
10 K-a-h-l, from Buchalter, B-u-c-h-a-l-t-e-r,
11 on behalf of the Energy Producers and Users
12 Coalition, known as EPUC. EPUC is an
13 organization of large end users of san -- of
14 Southern California Edison Company and
15 Pacific Gas and Electric Company. We plan to
16 part through comments. And we're very
17 interested in whether the charge is adopted
18 and at what levels.

19 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you for that.
20 Motion granted. Welcome aboard.

21 (Energy Producers and Users
22 Coalition was granted Party Status.)

23 MS. KAHL: Thank you.

24 ALJ DOHERTY: I now have Ronald Liebert
25 from Ellison Schneider Harris & Donlan
26 representing the California Manufacturers and
27 Technology Association.

28 MR. LIEBERT: Good morning, your Honor.

1 Ron Liebert, L-i-e-b-e-r-t, on behalf of
2 CMTA. CMTA is made of up large commercial
3 industrial customers of Edison, PG&E, and
4 SDG&E. And as a result, they are going to be
5 paying the brunt of whatever charge is
6 imposed. So we're very interested in the
7 just and reasonableness of the charge if it
8 is imposed and the allocation of that charge.
9 And we intend to actively participate in this
10 proceeding by filing --

11 ALJ DOHERTY: By filing comments.

12 MR. LIEBERT: Yes, your Honor.

13 ALJ DOHERTY: All right. Thank you for
14 that. The motion is granted. Welcome
15 aboard.

16 (California Manufacturers and
17 Technology Association was granted
18 Party Status.)

19 ALJ DOHERTY: I now have Lisa Cottle,
20 for Trans Bay Cable, LLC.

21 MS. COTTLE: Yes. Good morning, your
22 Honor.

23 Lisa Cottle, C-o-t-t-l-e, here
24 representing Trans Bay Cable, LLC. Trans Bay
25 Cable is a transmission-owning utility in
26 California, also a public utility. It owns
27 the Trans Bay Cable, which is a high-voltage
28 underwater transmission line that connects

1 San Francisco with the East Bay.

2 Trans Bay Cable is interested in the
3 issues that are scoped in this proceeding.
4 And it intends to file comments and is
5 seeking party status to have that
6 opportunity.

7 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you for that. And
8 you might as well -- the motion is granted,
9 but you should stay there because I think you
10 have a couple more.

11 MS. COTTLE: I do.

12 (Trans Bay Cable, LLC was granted
13 Party Status.)

14 ALJ DOHERTY: Ms. Cottle, you are also
15 here representing Horizon West Transmission,
16 LLC.

17 MS. COTTLE: Yes, your Honor.

18 Horizon West Transmission, LLC is
19 the entity that was formerly known as NextEra
20 Energy Transmission, LLC. It is also a
21 transmission-owning utility in California.
22 It is building its first transmission
23 project, and it's expected to be in service
24 early next year. Its interest is the same as
25 Trans Bay Cable and would like to file party
26 status to file comments.

27 ALJ DOHERTY: Okay. Thank you for
28 that. The motion is granted. Welcome

1 aboard.

2 (Horizon West Transmission, LLC was
3 granted Party Status.)

4 ALJ DOHERTY: Ms. Karen Mills for the
5 California Farm Bureau Federation.

6 MS. KAHL: Yes, your Honor. Karen
7 Mills, M-i-l-l-s, for the California Farm
8 Bureau Federation, B-u-r-e-a-u -- probably
9 the hardest word the spell. Farm Bureau is
10 interested in the proceeding and focusing on
11 the financial impact on our ratepayers -- on
12 ratepayers that Farm Bureau represents in
13 Edison, SDG&E, PG&E and PacifiCorp's service
14 territory. We anticipate submitting comments
15 as warranted.

16 ALJ DOHERTY: All right. Thank you Ms.
17 Mills. The motion is granted.

18 (California Farm Bureau Federation
19 was granted Party Status.)

20 ALJ DOHERTY: Michael Alcantar for
21 Western States Petroleum Associates.

22 MR. ALCANTAR: Yes, your Honor.
23 Michael Alcantar, A-l-c-a-n-t-a-r. I'm here
24 as Counsel for Western States Petroleum
25 Associates. They are a group of large
26 industrial critical infrastructure
27 facilities. And they are interested in the
28 implementation of the bill that gives rise to

1 this action and will file comments and
2 participate activity.

3 ALJ DOHERTY: All right. Thank you for
4 that. The motion is granted.

5 (Western States Petroleum Associates
6 was granted Party Status.)

7 ALJ DOHERTY: Ms. Jeanne Armstrong for
8 Solar Energy Industries Association.

9 MS. ARMSTRONG: Yes. Jeanne Armstrong
10 on behalf of the Solar Energy Industries
11 Association, otherwise known as SEIA,
12 S-E-I-A. SEIA is a national trade
13 organization that represents all aspect of
14 the solar industry, including providing solar
15 to the residential customers in the major IOU
16 service territories in California.

17 We are interested in the impact of
18 the proposed surcharge on the bills of the
19 customers who will -- who take solar and the
20 impact of the surcharge on the people
21 considering installing solar on their homes.
22 And we plan to be active and comment as
23 necessary.

24 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you, Ms. Armstrong.
25 The motion is granted.

26 (Solar Energy Industries Association
27 was granted Party Status.)

28 ALJ DOHERTY: I now have William Abrams

1 representing himself, I believe.

2 MR. ABRAMS: Yes, thank you.

3 So my name is William Abrams,
4 A-b-r-a-m-s. I'm a wildfire survivor from
5 the 2017 North Bay wildfires. I've been
6 participating in a few of the other
7 proceedings around wildfire mitigation. And
8 I'm here because I'm concerned this is step
9 backwards in terms of safety for residents
10 and transparency for the work that's being
11 done around mitigation. And I'm here to
12 participate actively, including file comments
13 in the proceeding.

14 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you, Mr. Abrams.
15 Can I note for the record, are you PG&E
16 ratepayer as well?

17 MR. ABRAMS: I am also a PG&E
18 ratepayer.

19 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you. The motion is
20 granted. Welcome aboard.

21 (William Abrams was granted Party
22 Status.)

23 ALJ DOHERTY: Mr. Graf for the
24 Coalition of California Utility Employees.

25 MR. GRAF: Good morning, your Honor.
26 Andrew Graf, G-r-a-f, for Coalition of
27 California Utility Employees. We represent
28 labor unions that work on nearly all the

1 utilities in California. We have an interest
2 in determining whether this charge is just is
3 and reasonable. And we plan to actively
4 participate as necessary.

5 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you for that. The
6 motion is granted.

7 (Coalition of California Utility
8 Employees was granted Party Status.)

9 ALJ DOHERTY: Mr. Joshua Stoops for
10 California Choice Energy Authority.

11 MR. STOOPS: Thank you, your Honor.
12 Josh Stoops, S-t-o-o-p-s. I'm here on behalf
13 of California Choice Energy Authority, as
14 well as Pioneer Community Energy, and Silicon
15 Valley Clean Energy Authority who share
16 similar interests in this proceeding ensuring
17 that the non-bypassable charge is just and
18 reasonable. And we're concerned about the
19 allocation of that charge. And we anticipate
20 filing comments as necessary.

21 ALJ DOHERTY: And I just want to
22 clarify for the record, Mr. Stoops, that
23 those representations were made on behalf of
24 California Choice Energy Authority, Pioneer
25 Community Energy, and Silicon Valley Clean
26 Energy Authority.

27 MR. STOOPS: Correct.

28 ALJ DOHERTY: So you plan to

1 participate as three separate entities.

2 MR. STOOPS: Correct.

3 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you for that. I'm
4 going to grant the motion for all three.

5 MR. STOOPS: Thank you.

6 ALJ DOHERTY: Welcome aboard.

7 (California Choice Energy Authority
8 was granted Party Status.)

9 (Pioneer Community Energy was
10 granted Party Status.)

11 (Silicon Valley Clean Energy
12 Authority was granted Party Status.)

13 ALJ DOHERTY: Now I have Scott Blasing
14 for Redwood Coast Energy Authority.

15 MR. BLASING: Thank you, your Honor.
16 Scott Blasing, B-l-a-s-i-n-g. Redwood Coast
17 Energy Authority is a community choice
18 aggregator. They have an interest in the
19 non-bypassable charge and determination of
20 whether it's just and reasonable, also its
21 allocation. Redwood Coast anticipates filing
22 comments as necessary.

23 Your Honor, on behalf of three other
24 community choice aggregators, I would like to
25 enter an appearance in sequence. Or would
26 you like to take those in order?

27 ALJ DOHERTY: Well, it depends. Are
28 the interests in the level of participation

1 different between the other entities?

2 MR. BLASING: They are the same, your
3 Honor.

4 ALJ DOHERTY: Okay. Then let's do them
5 all at once.

6 So I have you down for Redwood Coast
7 Energy Authority, Monterey Bay Community
8 Power Authority, Sonoma Clean Power
9 Authority, and Marin Clean Energy.

10 MR. BLASING: Correct, your Honor.

11 ALJ DOHERTY: So the representations
12 you just made apply to all four in an
13 identical fashion.

14 MR. BLASING: Correct, your Honor.

15 ALJ DOHERTY: Okay. Then I'll grant
16 the motion for all four. Welcome aboard.

17 MR. BLASING: Thank you.

18 (Redwood Coast Energy Authority was
19 granted Party Status.)

20 (Monterey Bay Community Power
21 Authority was granted Party Status.)

22 (Sonoma Clean Power Authority was
23 granted Party Status.)

24 (Marin Clean Energy was granted
25 Party Status.)

26 ALJ DOHERTY: Okay. And now I have
27 Joseph Wiedman for Peninsula Clean Energy
28 Authority.

1 MR. WIEDMAN: Good morning, your Honor.
2 My name is Joesph Wiedman, W-i-e-d-m-a-n.
3 I'm here today on behalf of the Peninsula
4 Clean Energy Authority we are the community
5 choice aggregator serving San Mateo County.
6 We are a customer of PG&E, as are all of
7 customers customers of PG&E. We are
8 interested in the just and reasonableness and
9 allocation of any non-bypassable charge. And
10 we will file comments and participate as
11 necessary.

12 ALJ DOHERTY: All right. Thank you
13 Mr. Wiedman. Motion is granted. My
14 apologies for mispronouncing your name.

15 MR. WIEDMAN: No worries.
16 (Peninsula Clean Energy Authority
17 was granted Party Status.)

18 ALJ DOHERTY: Okay. So that's all the
19 written requests I have. Is there anyone
20 else in the hearing room wishing to become a
21 party to this proceeding? I see many hands.

22 We'll start with the first one I
23 saw, Ms. Sommer.

24 MS. SOMMER: Good morning. April
25 Maurath Sommer, M-a-u-r-a-t-h, S-o-m-m-e-r
26 for the Wild Tree Foundation. The Wild Tree
27 Foundation is a 501(c)(3) non-profit that
28 represents the interest of the environment

1 and ratepayers that are concerned about the
2 environment, wildlife, and climate.

3 Our interest in this proceeding is
4 the legality of a non-bypassable charge
5 pursuant to AB1054 and the safety
6 implications of such a charge. And we plan
7 to actively participate in any opportunities
8 for commenting and other engagement in this
9 proceeding.

10 ALJ DOHERTY. Motion for party status
11 is granted. Welcome aboard.

12 I see one in the back.

13 (Wild Tree Foundation was granted
14 Party Status.)

15 MR. LOPEZ: Thank you. Good morning,
16 your Honor. Edward Lopez, L-o-p-e-z, with
17 UCAN, the Utility Consumers Action Network.
18 We are an established intervenor before the
19 CPUC. We represent and have membership
20 constituting the ratepayers of SDG&E and
21 residential and small business ratepayers.

22 Our interest, in addition to
23 generally the scoped issues as outlined,
24 including in particular the just and
25 reasonableness application if the charge is
26 levied, and we will actively participate in
27 the filing of comments.

28 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you, sir. Motion

1 is granted. Welcome aboard.

2 (Utility Consumers Action Network
3 was granted Party Status.)

4 ALJ DOHERTY: Go ahead.

5 MR. Good morning, your Honor. My name
6 is Michael Aguirre. I represent Ruth
7 Henricks who is a food provider to
8 terminally-ill customers. And she, herself,
9 is a customer of SDG&E.

10 She's been involved in wildfire
11 proceedings since 2009, currently is before
12 the Supreme Court with the CPUC on wildfire
13 issues now. She intends to actively
14 participate and to make other appropriate
15 motions before your Honor with regard to
16 these proceedings.

17 ALJ DOHERTY: All right. Thank you,
18 Mr. Aguirre. The motion is granted.

19 (Ruth Henricks was granted Party
20 Status.)

21 (Court reporter clarification.)

22 ALJ DOHERTY: All right. Any other --
23 in the back?

24 MS. KASNITZ: Thank you. Melissa
25 Kasnitz, K-a-s-n-i-t, like Tom, z- like
26 zebra, with the Center for Accessible
27 Technology. I represent the interests of
28 residential customers with access and

1 functional needs including, particularly,
2 utility customers with disabilities who are
3 disproportionately low income. And I'm here
4 to address issues of the costs and how they
5 are allocated and the implications for fire
6 safety for particularly vulnerable customer
7 groups. I intend to participate actively by
8 filing comments as appropriate.

9 ALJ DOHERTY: All right. Thanks, Ms.
10 Kasnitz. The motion is granted.

11 (Center for Accessible Technology
12 was granted Party Status.)

13 ALJ DOHERTY: Ms. Hook?

14 MS. HOOK: Good morning. I'm Charlyn
15 Hook representing the Public Advocates
16 Office. Our mission is to obtain the lowest
17 possible rates for customers consistent with
18 safe and reliable service and the State's
19 environmental goals. We are interested in
20 this proceeding to ensure that any new
21 wildfire charge and the associated rate
22 impacts are just and reasonable and allocated
23 and collected fairly. We plan to participate
24 actively, filing comments, and in formal
25 proceedings as well if those are held.

26 ALJ DOHERTY: All right. Thanks. And
27 the motion is granted.

28 (Public Advocates Office was granted

1 Party Status.)

2 ALJ DOHERTY: Is there anyone else?

3 MS. TORRES: Thank you, your Honor.

4 Elise Torres on behalf of The
5 Utility Reform Network, or TURN. And that's
6 Torres, T-o-r-r-e-s. And we represent
7 residential and small commercial customers of
8 the investor-owned utilities of California.
9 And we plan to participate actively in this
10 proceeding by filing comments.

11 ALJ DOHERTY: All right. Thank you,
12 Ms. Torres. The motion of TURN is granted.

13 (The Utility Reform Network was
14 granted Party Status.)

15 ALJ DOHERTY: Is there anyone else in
16 the room seeking to become a party to this
17 proceeding?

18 (No response.)

19 ALJ DOHERTY: All right. I don't see
20 any other hands going up.

21 I will note for the record that the
22 Bioenergy Association of California filed a
23 prehearing conference statement. However,
24 that does not confer upon them party status.
25 If any of you know a representative from that
26 entity, you might wish to let them know that
27 if they want to participate further, they
28 will need to move for party status.

1 Okay. Let's move on to the
2 categorization of the proceeding. The Order
3 Instituting Rulemaking categorized this
4 proceeding as Ratesetting. I think most
5 parties that touched on this issue in their
6 PHC statements agreed with that
7 categorization. Is there a party that
8 disagrees with the ratesetting
9 categorization?

10 (No response.)

11 ALJ DOHERTY: All right. I see no
12 objections. Accordingly, I agree with the
13 ratesetting categorization for this
14 proceeding and will recommend that
15 categorization to Commissioner Rechtschaffen.
16 The ratesetting categorization triggers
17 ex-parte communication rules described in
18 Commission Rules 8.2 to 8.5, as modified by
19 Senate Bill 215. Please see Resolution ALJ
20 344 for a discussion of updates to the rules
21 to conform to Senate Bill 215.

22 Okay. Let's move on to issues in
23 the scope of the proceeding. The Order
24 Instituting Rulemaking identified the
25 following issues as preliminarily within the
26 scope of the proceeding. And while you're
27 probably very familiar with them, I'm going
28 to repeat them for the record before we talk

1 about them:

2 Number 1, whether it is appropriate
3 for the Commission to exercise its authority
4 under Public Utilities Code Section 701 to
5 require certain electrical corporations to
6 impose a non-bypassable charge on their
7 customers to support California's Wildfire
8 Fund established by AB1054, including
9 payments of bonds issued pursuant to Section
10 80500 et seq of the Water Code;]

11 Number 2, whether imposition of the
12 Wildfire Fund non-bypassable charge is just
13 and reasonable;

14 Number 3, the estimated dollar
15 amount of the revenue requirement referred to
16 in Section 3289 of the Public Utilities Code;

17 Number 4, the nature of the
18 Commission's agreement with the Department of
19 Water Resources pursuant to Water Code
20 Section 80524(b);

21 And, Number 5, other issues relating
22 to the Wildfire Fund non-bypassable charge
23 that must be addressed before the charge may
24 be imposed.

25 Do the parties have any comments on
26 these preliminary issues?

27 Ms. Mavrath Sommer.

28 MS. MAVRATH SOMMER: Thank you, your

1 Honor. So we would recommend that there be
2 two additional issues that are added. It's
3 our position, which we described briefly in
4 the prehearing conference statement, that
5 this extremely large increase in rates cannot
6 be entered within a 90-day schedule and have
7 there be due process that is guaranteed to
8 ratepayers.

9 I understand that the language used
10 in the first issue, whether it's appropriate
11 for the Commission, comes directly from the
12 Code, but we think that there should also be
13 an additional issue of whether it is legal
14 for the Commission to -- given -- given the
15 schedule that has been imposed by the
16 legislature and the restriction on any
17 amendment or modification to the decision,
18 whether it's actually legal for the
19 Commission to decide to issue a rate
20 increase.

21 And the other issue that we think
22 should be added certainly is the safety
23 implications, the method by which a safety
24 certification is going to be issued to the
25 IOUs by a staff member, which would be a
26 ministerial action, you know, there's real
27 due process implications with that, but
28 there's also real safety issues. The rates

1 that would be increased in this proceeding
2 would be enabling a disincentive for the
3 utilities to prioritize and safety.

4 So those are the two issues we
5 recommend actually looking at the legality of
6 the ability of the Commission here to
7 increase rates and the safety implications if
8 the Commission does so. Thank you.

9 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you for that. I'll
10 take that under advisement.

11 Mr. Abrams.

12 MR. ABRAMS: Thank you. Yes, I had a
13 few other issues also to bring up that I was
14 hoping to incorporate into the proceeding, as
15 mentioned earlier, a concern about the safety
16 implications of this proceeding. There's
17 been a number of strides associated with
18 wildfire mitigation plans. I would want to
19 make sure that this was not a step backwards.

20 So there's a few things indicated in
21 AB 1054 that would indicate that that's going
22 to be reworked, one of which is that it puts
23 the wildfire mitigation plans on a three-year
24 cycle instead of an annual cycle with updates
25 in between.

26 I think it's universally accepted
27 that those wildfire mitigation plans are not
28 in their current state acceptable and we had

1 to move very quickly in that first proceeding
2 to get those wildfire mitigation plans done.
3 So I would really appreciate that the
4 consideration be how and when those iterative
5 processes take place and when the full
6 wildfire mitigation planning takes place and
7 when the steps in between take place.

8 And also around that, there's been a
9 lot of work around performance-based metrics
10 and making sure that performance-based
11 metrics were incorporated into those plans.
12 AB 1054 does not refer to that as a basis for
13 the wildfire mitigation plans and uses other
14 language. So we'd want to understand what
15 the implications of that are.

16 All of this is really around
17 practical implications, what it means to
18 residents in terms of safety going forward
19 and what the accountability and
20 responsibilities are for the utilities moving
21 forward around that.

22 The other area that I think is of
23 critical importance is around transparency.
24 There has been some changes in AB 1054 around
25 ex parte communications and a quiet period
26 and would want to understand the implications
27 of that and how that differs from how
28 proceedings are ordinarily handled through

1 the CPUC.

2 Along those same lines, there are
3 advisory boards being adopted as part of the
4 wildfire mitigation planning process
5 associated and implemented with AB 1054.
6 And, again, trying to understand how that
7 advisory board and the new safety division
8 fits into sort of the workings of how we've
9 been approaching wildfire mitigation plans
10 going forward to ensure that residents are
11 safe and ratepayers are getting charges that
12 are just and reasonable. Thank you.

13 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you, Mr. Abrams.
14 I'll take that under advisement.

15 Are there other parties that wish to
16 comment on the draft scoping issues?

17 Mr. Aguirre.

18 MR. AGUIRRE: If I may invite your
19 attention to page 4 of the Order Instituting
20 Rulemaking where it talks about 880 million
21 dollars per year. If we're talking about
22 15 years, that sounds more like 13 billion
23 than 10 billion.

24 So I'm just wondering if we should
25 include as an issue whether that number can
26 be required legally of the Commission to
27 start off with when we're trying to determine
28 just and reasonable.

1 So I think the other thing is -- one
2 other related issue is I think we need to
3 have something in the proceeding that
4 examines the legal arrangements or the
5 agreements or the commitment of the utilities
6 to in fact fund their portion of the 21
7 billion, including examining what steps PG&E
8 could be taking to in fact fulfill its
9 commitment because right now it's got a free
10 ride.

11 So what was told to the public and
12 was told to the legislature was that there
13 would be 21 million dollars split amongst the
14 utility customers and the three major IOUs,
15 but then we found out yesterday that that
16 number has been reduced down to four billion
17 dollars or one-third of what utility
18 customers serve.

19 So I think we need to examine and as
20 out of these proceedings, if we are going to
21 do it, there needs to be a part of it, there
22 needs to be a determination, a legally
23 binding decision on the utilities that they
24 in fact have to provide the money that the
25 public is being told they will be provided.
26 Thank you.

27 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you, Mr. Aguirre.

28 Are there other parties with

1 comments on the draft issues in scope?

2 SDG&E.

3 MR. LYONS: Thank you, your Honor. In
4 the OIR, there are references to further
5 phases or separate proceedings or separate
6 phases of this proceeding. And this may be
7 an issue that's more appropriate for a
8 separate phase of this proceeding, but we
9 would like one of the issues to be what the
10 meaning of the phrase "nonbypassable" is and
11 to be able to take a position on that and
12 raise that in our comments. We would just
13 like clarity as to whether we should do that
14 in this phase or if there's going to be a
15 separate phase that will in more detail deal
16 with how that charge is actually going to be
17 collected and allocated amongst ratepayers.

18 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you for that.

19 MR. AGUIRRE: May I just quickly
20 respond?

21 ALJ DOHERTY: Yeah, do you mind if I go
22 to Public Advocates first?

23 MR. AGUIRRE: No, perfectly happy.

24 ALJ DOHERTY: Okay.

25 MS. HOOK: Charlyn Hook, Public
26 Advocates Office. We agree with the general
27 scope of the issues and that they're broad
28 enough to encompass all of the important

1 issues, but we do also have concerns about
2 the expedited process here. This process is
3 very rushed and it does have the potential at
4 least to compromise the parties' rights to
5 meaningful due process.

6 To that end, we understand that the
7 Commission is under an obligation for the
8 statute to get the decision out in 90 days,
9 but we do like the idea of phasing the issues
10 into two different phases to take on the more
11 complex issues in Phase 2 as we've also
12 recommended that in our comments.

13 We also want to point out that the
14 language in the statute says that the
15 Commission is to consider whether the
16 imposition of this new charge is just and
17 reasonable so it is actually discretionary
18 and not mandatory for us to impose this
19 charge.

20 We want to have an opportunity to
21 meaningfully consider it. There's a lot of
22 money at stake here. The total could be up
23 to 18 billion over time, so we want to take
24 the time to get this right. There's a lot of
25 reasons and provisions in the statute, one of
26 them being that the decision here will not be
27 reviewable in the future. That makes it even
28 all the more important that we get things

1 right the first time.

2 Just a couple of comments on the
3 actual -- you know, there's been a lot of
4 changes since we originally adopted the DWR
5 bond charge back in 2002. Some of those
6 changes argued for possibly doing things
7 differently this time around. The statute
8 does say that we should follow the same
9 manner as the DWR bond but that doesn't mean
10 exactly.

11 So some of the questions are, for
12 example -- sorry, one moment here. Well,
13 will there be associated taxes, franchise
14 fees, or uncollectibles be assigned to a
15 nonbypassable charge or will those fall to
16 bundled customers?

17 In addition, there's also been a lot
18 of changes today with respect to the increase
19 in direct access and community choice
20 aggregation load. So we'd like to see those
21 issues explored meaningfully in a Phase 2.

22 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you, Ms. Hook.

23 Mr. Aguirre, did you have a comment
24 to make?

25 MR. AGUIRRE: No.

26 ALJ DOHERTY: No, okay.

27 Ms. Torres.

28 MR. TORRES: Thank you, your Honor.

1 TURN understands the concern about the
2 expedited schedule. We have concerns about
3 that as well. However, we are concerned
4 about a two-phased approach if they're going
5 to put off cost allocation or, sorry, revenue
6 allocation and rate design to a second phase.

7 We believe that is completely
8 intertwined with evaluating the justness and
9 the reasonableness of the imposition of this
10 charge. So if we're going to do that, TURN
11 would like clarification from the Commission
12 that it intends to do the revenue allocation
13 in the same manner as the DWR bond charge.
14 We feel the statute is clear on that, and
15 that the revenue allocation of the DWR bond
16 charge is settled and that should be applied
17 for this case.

18 However, if we're going to wait to
19 do revenue allocation, I don't know how we
20 could evaluate the justness and the
21 reasonableness of this charge if we don't
22 know how we're going to apply it to customers
23 and how it's going to impact different
24 classes of customers and bundled and
25 unbundled customers.

26 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you, Ms. Torres.

27 Do we have any other comments on the
28 scope of issues at this time?

1 Mr. Warner.

2 MR. WARNER: Thank you, your Honor.
3 This is Chris Warner representing PG&E. Just
4 to kind of reference our prehearing
5 conference statement on a couple of
6 additional issues, they may not be issues.
7 They may be more confirmation of the
8 statutory requirements.

9 PG&E wishes to participate in the
10 fund for its customers but the statute places
11 restrictions on our eligibility to
12 participate. And in the event we don't meet
13 those eligibility requirements, we are not
14 permitted, or our customers, to participate
15 in the fund.

16 We would simply like confirmation
17 that if we do not for whatever reason become
18 eligible to participate in the fund, then we
19 would not collect the charge from our
20 customers and our customers not collect the
21 charge -- not pay the charge because
22 otherwise they'd be paying for something that
23 in our opinion would not be just and
24 reasonable because they would not be
25 receiving benefits from the funds.

26 Secondly, we generally, I think,
27 view the allocation issue for the revenue
28 requirements for the charge as being one that

1 should under the statute follow the same
2 collection allocation that was originally
3 adopted for the DWR revenue requirement as
4 specified in the Code, which means that in
5 order to avoid a rate increase to our
6 customers, the allocation among the three
7 IOUs in part would remain the same as it is
8 for the current DWR revenue requirement.

9 Those are really the only two
10 issues, although we view them more as
11 confirmation and clarification, not the
12 statutory intent.

13 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you, Mr. Warner.

14 Any there any other comments?

15 Yes.

16 MR. ALCANTER: Thank you, Michael
17 Alcantar again.

18 Mr. Warner, could you explain then
19 in the context of the presentation just made
20 about your issues yesterday your utility
21 filed an application for rehearing for the
22 decision on eligibility for the stress test.
23 Are you taking the same position in that
24 filing, challenging the Commission's decision
25 regarding eligibility?

26 MR. WARNER: Again, I appreciate I'm
27 not familiar directly with that.

28 MR. ALCANTER: Okay.

1 MR. WARNER: I'm only speaking as to
2 the statutory eligibility for the
3 participation in the Wildfire Fund under
4 AB 1054.

5 MR. ALCANTER: Thank you.

6 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you. Any other --
7 Ms. Torres.

8 MR. TORRES: Thank you, your Honor. My
9 apologies. That sparked another idea in my
10 mind. I think given the status of PG&E, the
11 uncertain status of PG&E, we do need to
12 consider how the allocation will work if
13 there is a successor entity and how that will
14 impact customers if utility assets are sold
15 that serve them. That's not the most
16 articulate way to put that.

17 ALJ DOHERTY: I understand the meaning.

18 MR. TORRES: Thank you.

19 ALJ DOHERTY: Okay. Are there any
20 other comments on the scope of issue?

21 Mr. Abrams.

22 MR. ABRAMS: Sorry, one other
23 suggestion, your Honor, just a concern that
24 there isn't a lot of public representation in
25 the prehearing conference today and to
26 whatever extent we can accommodate the scope
27 of the proceeding, some public meetings to be
28 able to socialize and make sure folks are

1 actively aware of the implications to safety
2 and rates I think would be a good step in
3 terms of engaging the public.

4 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you, Mr. Abrams.
5 Can I clarify. From your perspective, are
6 you talking about a classic public
7 participation hearing or something more
8 informal?

9 MR. ABRAMS: Yeah, a public
10 participation hearing I think. We'd be open
11 to any ideas about how to engage the public
12 more extensively to make sure that they
13 understand the issues. Thank you.

14 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you, Mr. Abrams.
15 Okay, any other comments on the
16 scope? We still have the schedule to go so
17 that's coming up. All right, if there are no
18 other comments on the scope, I'm going to
19 move on to the schedule.

20 The Order Institute Rulemaking set
21 out an expedited schedule for this proceeding
22 in light of the direction of AB 1054 to issue
23 a final decision in this matter no later than
24 October 26, 2019. The OIR contemplates issue
25 a scoping memo next week and seeking opening
26 comments from the parties on August 22nd
27 followed by reply comments on August 29th.

28 A proposed decision is expected by

1 October 2019. The parties are advised that
2 due to the expedited nature of this
3 proceeding the Commission may shorten the
4 normal 30-day comment period on the proposed
5 decision. Do the parties have any comments
6 on the proposed schedule notwithstanding
7 that some parties did make some comments just
8 now in talking about the scope?

9 Ms. Kahl.

10 MS. KAHL: Your Honor, thank you,
11 Evelyn Kahl for EPUC. In our prehearing
12 conference statement, we did make a note
13 about the expedited schedule observing that
14 it's unclear what hurry we're in.

15 To the extent that DWR does issue
16 bonds, it will be 2021, around that time and
17 so we have time before they're going to
18 decide whether to issue bonds and actually
19 issue the bonds. So why we need to expedite
20 this to the same extent that you proposed in
21 the original rulemaking is unclear.

22 In addition, there have been all
23 kinds of other proceedings as we noted in our
24 statement that have been expedited since
25 probably March or April including all of the
26 wildfire cases, including cost of capital,
27 which is on a horrendous schedule right now,
28 the IRP, the Integrated Resource Planning

1 procedure.

2 Parties need more time to
3 effectively analyze all of these issues and
4 the amount of time set in your proposed
5 schedule because it's insufficient in that
6 respect. Thank you.

7 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you, Ms. Kahl.

8 Mr. Aguirre.

9 MR. AGUIRRE: Your Honor, it seems a
10 very tough case to make that we could resolve
11 issues without an evidentiary hearing in this
12 case.]

13 For example, we proposed to set up
14 a wildfire fund for wildfires that have not
15 yet occurred and for claims that have not yet
16 been filed. So we don't know what the
17 background is for the \$10 million, except,
18 perhaps, that it is a continuation of an
19 existing charge. So that doesn't seem to
20 bear any rational relationship to what claims
21 may have to be filed because they are in
22 excess of wildfire insurance coverage. We're
23 talking about uninsured wildfire costs.

24 And so it seems that, you know,
25 we're sort of shooting in the dark here. And
26 yesterday at the Earthquake Authority, they
27 are going to move the \$2 billion into the
28 wildfire fund on August the 15th. So the

1 machinery is already starting to move. But
2 it seems to have bear no rational
3 relationship to any need, excepts, perhaps,
4 some argument that the market or market
5 analysts are demanding some sort of
6 certainty.

7 But, then, that takes us into
8 another area, which is the documents that
9 we've received from the Department of
10 Finance. They refer to the wildfire bonds as
11 "attractive investments." So now what we've
12 done is we've converted the wildfire costs
13 for nonexistent wildfire, and to pay
14 nonexistent claims, into what Bank of
15 America, Merrill Lynch has been hired by the
16 government to do the investment banking,
17 refers to as a, quote, "attractive
18 investment." So, in essence, what I suggest
19 is that we devote some time to evidentiary
20 hearings on the grounds that they are
21 constitutionally required and that we have to
22 report back to the legislature that their
23 deadlines were too ambitious and that there's
24 additional factors that the CPUC has to
25 comply with.

26 And I might remind you Honor that
27 the reason we're here right now is because of
28 the integrity of two CPUC Administrative Law

1 Judges who found that SDG&E wasn't prudent
2 and disallowed \$379 million of uninsured
3 wildfire coverage. And that's what
4 precipitated all the rest of it and the
5 urgencies by the utilities. So I've -- I
6 just say, keep an open mind, if you will.

7 Either allow us to put in
8 evidentiary hearings or, at least, keep it
9 open as a possibility as the proceedings move
10 along, and as we start taking discovery from
11 the other side, that your Honor may want to
12 have evidentiary hearings before you're
13 called upon -- and the Commission may want to
14 do so as well.

15 I know we're all wanting to be
16 compatible and pleasing to the Governor and
17 to the legislators. But if they give us
18 something that can't be
19 done constitutionally, I think it's incumbent
20 upon us more fundamentally, morally, legally
21 and ethically to consider the evidentiary
22 hearings.

23 Thank you.

24 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank, Mr. Aguirre.

25 I actually have a follow-up question
26 for you. Given the need for evidentiary
27 hearings, I'm just wondering, are the
28 disputed issues of material fact, that you've

1 identified, that you feel we need hearings
2 for?

3 MR. AGUIRRE: Yes. And we have those
4 -- those issues of material fact in large
5 measure come from the first time that PG&E,
6 San Diego Gas and Electric, and Southern
7 California Edison proposed a future -- or a
8 wildfire fund for future fires. That was
9 done in August the 30th in 2009. That was
10 resolved against SDG&E in December of 2012.

11 Preceding that, both PG&E and
12 Southern California Edison dropped out of
13 those proceedings. But the Administrative
14 Law Judge, Judge Bushey, and the Assigned
15 Commissioner, Commissioner Simon, were very
16 clear that really, factually didn't make a
17 lot of sense to set up a wildfire fund for
18 wildfires that hadn't happened yet. And we
19 identified the issues that we think need to
20 be examined in an evidentiary hearing in our
21 prehearing conference filing with your Honor.

22 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you for that.

23 Are there any comments on the
24 proposed schedule for this proceeding at this
25 time?

26 Mr. Abrams.

27 MR. ABRAMS: Sorry. Just wanted to
28 emphasize that I also feel like there isn't

1 enough time with the proceeding to allow for
2 careful consideration of the issues. The
3 wildfire mitigation plan proceeding and a
4 number of proceeding were -- everyone agreed
5 were a very, very rushed process. So to
6 compound those rushes with another rushed
7 process before actually getting to a baseline
8 steady state with wildfire mitigation plans I
9 think is going to be very difficult for
10 residents.

11 I'm concerned that the expedited
12 processes for the benefit of the financial
13 implications to the IOUs as opposed to trying
14 to get us to a safer place as residents in
15 those communities. So I would just urge you
16 to consider slowing the process down and
17 getting it right and making sure that that's
18 first and foremost as opposed to getting it
19 done in an expedited fashion.

20 ALJ DOHERTY: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
21 Abrams.

22 Are there any other comments on the
23 proposed schedule in this proceeding?

24 (No response.)

25 ALJ DOHERTY: All right. Hearing none,
26 are there any other procedural matters that
27 parties would like to discuss at this time?

28 MR. AGUIRRE: I would like --

1 ALJ DOHERTY: Mr. Aguirre.

2 MR. AGUIRRE: What is your Honor's
3 pleasure in terms of taking discovery in data
4 requests and documents that sort of thing.
5 What are we doing in that regard?

6 ALJ DOHERTY: Well, I mean, my view is
7 -- I'm thinking out loud --

8 MR. AGUIRRE: I didn't mean to put you
9 on the spot --

10 (Crosstalk.)

11 MR. AGUIRRE: May I rephrase it and
12 say, your Honor, will you take into and
13 consider in a more deliberative process,
14 perhaps, you know, about what you want to do
15 with discovery to make sure that we have
16 fully informed your Honor and the Commission
17 to make an informed decision.

18 ALJ DOHERTY: Thank you, Mr. Aguirre.
19 I will certainly take that under advisement.
20 Thank you for that.

21 Okay. If there are no other
22 procedural matters that parties wish to
23 discuss at this time, we are adjourned.

24 Off the record.

25 (Whereupon, at the hour of 10:47
26 a.m. this matter having been concluded,
the Commission then adjourned.)]

27 * * * * *

28

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING

I, ANDREA L. ROSS, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
NO. 7896, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DO
HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
THIS MATTER ON AUGUST 8, 2019.

I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.

EXECUTED THIS AUGUST 14, 2019.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Andrea L. Ross". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looped "R".

ANDREA L. ROSS
CSR NO. 7896


BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING

I, KARLY POWERS, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
NO. 13991, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO
HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
THIS MATTER ON AUGUST 8, 2019.

I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.

EXECUTED THIS AUGUST 14, 2019.


KARLY POWERS
CSR NO. #13991

<hr/>	30-day 38:4	a.m. 3:2 44:25	advisement 26:10 28:14 44:19
<hr/> \$ <hr/>	30th 42:9	AB 26:21 27:12,24 28:5 36:4 37:22	advisory 28:3,7
\$10 39:17	3289 8:12 24:16	AB1054 3:12 19:5 24:8	Advocates 21:15,28 30:22,26
\$2 39:27	344 23:20	ability 26:6	aforementioned 4:25
\$379 41:2	<hr/> 4 <hr/>	aboard 7:22 8:22 9:20 10:15 12:1 14:20 16:6 17:16 19:11 20:1	agenda 4:20
<hr/> 1 <hr/>	4 24:17 28:19	Abrams 13:28 14:2,3, 14,17,21 26:11,12 28:13 36:21,22 37:4,9, 14 42:26,27 43:21	aggregation 32:20
1 24:2	<hr/> 5 <hr/>	acceptable 26:28	aggregator 16:18 18:5
10 28:23	5 24:21	accepted 26:26	aggregators 16:24
1054 3:11 26:21 27:12, 24 28:5 36:4 37:22	501(c)(3) 18:27	access 20:28 32:19	agree 23:12 30:26
10:00 3:2	<hr/> 6 <hr/>	Accessible 20:26 21:11	agreed 23:6 43:4
10:47 44:25	6th 4:13	accommodate 36:26	agreement 24:18
13 28:22	<hr/> 7 <hr/>	accountability 27:19	agreements 29:5
15 28:22	701 3:15 24:4	action 13:1 19:17 20:2 25:26	Aguirre 20:6,18 28:17, 18 29:27 30:19,23 32:23,25 39:8,9 41:24 42:3 43:28 44:1,2,8,11, 18
15th 39:28	7th 4:13	active 13:22	ahead 7:8 20:4
18 31:23	<hr/> 8 <hr/>	actively 7:7 8:16 10:9 14:12 15:3 19:7,26 20:13 21:7,24 22:9 37:1	Alcantar 12:20,22,23
19-07-017 3:9	8 3:2	activity 13:2	Alcanter 35:16,17,28 36:5
<hr/> 2 <hr/>	8.2 23:18	actual 32:3	ALJ 5:22,27 6:7,13,18, 23 7:8,11,20,25 8:13, 17,20,27 9:3,6,19,24 10:11,13,19 11:7,14,27 12:4,16,20 13:3,7,24,28 14:14,19,23 15:5,9,21, 28 16:3,6,13,27 17:4, 11,15,26 18:12,18 19:10,28 20:4,17,22 21:9,13,26 22:2,11,15, 19 23:11,19 26:9 28:13 29:27 30:18,21,24 32:22,26 33:26 35:13 36:6,17,19 37:4,14 39:7 41:24 42:22 43:20,25 44:1,6,18
2 24:11 31:11 32:21	8.5 23:18	added 5:8 25:2,22	allocated 21:5,22 30:17
2002 32:5	80500 24:10	addition 19:22 32:17 38:22	allocation 10:8 15:19 16:21 18:9 33:5,6,12, 15,19 34:27 35:2,6 36:12
2009 20:11 42:9	80524(b) 24:20	additional 25:2,13 34:6 40:24	ambitious 40:23
2012 42:10	880 28:20	address 21:4	
2017 14:5	<hr/> 9 <hr/>	addressed 24:23	
2019 3:2 4:10,13 37:24 38:1	90 31:8	adjourned 44:23,26	
2021 38:16	90-day 25:6	Administrative 3:4 4:16 40:28 42:13	
21 29:6,13	911 4:8	adopted 9:17 28:3 32:4 35:3	
215 23:19,21	<hr/> A <hr/>	advise 3:20	
22nd 37:26	A-B-R-A-M-S 14:4	advised 38:1	
26 37:24	A-L-C-A-N-T-A-R 12:23		
26th 4:10			
29th 37:27			
<hr/> 3 <hr/>			
3 24:14			

amendment 25:17	attorney 5:20 6:11,22	Bioenergy 22:22	9,13,15,16
America 40:15	attractive 40:11,17	Blasing 16:13,15,16 17:2,10,14,17	categorized 23:3
amount 8:10 24:15 39:4	August 3:2 4:13 37:26, 27 39:28 42:9	board 28:7	center 20:26 21:11
analysts 40:5	authority 3:14 15:10, 13,15,24,26 16:7,12,14, 17 17:7,8,9,18,21,22,28 18:4,16 24:3 39:26	boards 28:3	certainty 40:6
analyze 39:3	automatically 5:7	bond 32:5,9 33:13,15	certification 25:24
Andrew 7:1 14:26	Avenue 3:24	bonds 24:9 38:16,18, 19 40:10	challenging 35:24
annual 26:24	avoid 35:5	briefly 25:3	charge 3:17 8:9 9:17 10:5,7,8 15:2,17,19 16:19 18:9 19:4,6,25 21:21 24:6,12,22,23 30:16 31:16,19 32:5,15 33:10,13,16,21 34:19, 21,28 39:19
anticipate 12:14 15:19	aware 37:1	bring 26:13	charges 28:11
anticipates 16:21		broad 30:27	Charlyn 21:14 30:25
apologies 18:14 36:9		Brown 7:1,2,5	Chen 5:20
appearance 5:17 16:25	<hr/> B <hr/>	brunt 10:5	choice 15:10,13,24 16:7,17,24 18:5 32:19
application 19:25 35:21	B-L-A-S-I-N-G 16:16	Buchalter 9:10	Chris 6:22 34:3
applied 33:16	B-U-C-H-A-L-T-E-R 9:10	building 11:22	Christopher 6:11
apply 17:12 33:22	B-U-R-E-A-U 12:8	bundled 32:16 33:24	claims 39:15,20 40:14
approach 33:4	back 3:28 6:18 19:12 20:23 32:5 40:22	Bureau 12:5,8,9,12,18	clarification 20:21 33:11 35:11
approaching 28:9	background 39:17	Bushey 42:14	clarify 15:22 37:5
April 18:24 38:25	backwards 14:9 26:19	business 5:4 19:21	clarity 30:13
area 27:22 40:8		<hr/> C <hr/>	classes 33:24
argued 32:6	Bank 40:14	C-O-T-T-L-E 10:23	classic 37:6
argument 40:4	banking 40:16	Cable 10:20,24,25,27 11:2,12,25	Clean 15:15,25 16:11 17:8,9,22,24,27 18:4,16
Armstrong 13:7,9,24	baseline 43:7	calendar 4:11	clear 33:14 42:16
arrangements 29:4	basis 27:12	california 3:1 5:18,21 7:26 8:3,23 9:14,26 10:16,26 11:21 12:5,7, 18 13:16 14:24,27 15:1, 7,10,13,24 16:7 22:8,22 42:7,12	CLECA 8:4,14
articulate 36:16	Bay 10:20,24,27 11:1,2, 12,25 14:5 17:7,20	California's 3:17 24:7	Clifford 4:17
aspect 13:13	bear 5:28 6:2,4 39:20 40:2	call 4:7	climate 19:2
assemble 4:6	behalf 5:25 6:17,22 7:4, 7 8:3 9:11 10:1 13:10 15:12,23 16:23 18:3 22:4	called 41:13	CMTA 10:2
Assembly 3:11	benefit 5:2 43:12	capital 38:26	coalition 8:28 9:12,22 14:24,26 15:7
assets 36:14	benefits 34:25	careful 43:2	Coast 16:14,16,21 17:6,18
assigned 4:15,17,26 32:14 42:14	bill 3:11 12:28 23:19,21	case 3:21 4:6 33:17 39:10,12	Code 3:14 8:12 24:4, 10,16,19 25:12 35:4
Associates 12:21,25 13:5	billion 28:22,23 29:7,16 31:23 39:27	cases 38:26	collect 3:16 34:19,20
Association 7:27 8:4, 24 9:27 10:17 13:8,11, 26 22:22	bills 13:18	categorization 23:2,7,	
assume 8:18	binding 29:23		
attention 28:19			

collected 21:23 30:17	concerned 14:8 15:18 19:1 33:3 43:11	critical 12:26 27:23	differently 32:7
collection 35:2	concerns 31:1 33:2	Crosstalk 44:10	differs 27:27
comment 13:22 28:16 32:23 38:4	concluded 44:25	current 26:28 35:8	difficult 43:9
commenting 19:8	confer 22:24	customer 18:6 20:9 21:6	direct 32:19
comments 7:19 8:17, 19 9:16 10:11 11:4,26 12:14 13:1 14:12 15:20 16:22 18:10 19:27 21:8, 24 22:10 24:25 30:1,12 31:12 32:2 33:27 35:14 36:20 37:15,18,26,27 38:5,7 42:23 43:22	conference 3:9 4:12 22:23 25:4 34:5 36:25 38:12 42:21	customers 8:6 10:3 13:15,19 18:7 20:8,28 21:2,17 22:7 24:7 29:14,18 32:16 33:22, 24,25 34:10,14,20 35:6 36:14	direction 3:10 37:22
commercial 10:2 22:7	confirmation 34:7,16 35:11	cycle 26:24	directly 25:11 35:27
Commission 3:7,13 5:6 23:18 24:3 25:11, 14,19 26:6,8 28:26 31:7,15 33:11 38:3 41:13 44:16,26	conform 23:21	<hr/> D <hr/>	disabilities 21:2
Commission's 24:18 35:24	connects 10:28	daily 4:11	disagrees 23:8
Commissioner 4:17 7:28 23:15 42:15	consideration 27:4 43:2	dais 4:19	disallowed 41:2
Commissioner's 4:27	consistent 21:17	dark 39:25	discovery 41:10 44:3, 15
commitment 29:5,9	constituting 19:20	data 44:3	discretionary 31:17
communication 23:17	constitutionally 40:21 41:19	days 31:8	discuss 4:22 43:27 44:23
communications 27:25	Consumers 7:27 8:4, 23 19:17 20:2	deadlines 40:23	discussion 23:20
communities 43:15	contemplates 37:24	deal 30:15	disincentive 26:2
community 15:14,25 16:9,17,24 17:7,20 18:4 32:19	context 35:19	December 42:10	disproportionately 21:3
company 5:8,18,21 6:1,8,12,19 9:14,15	continuation 39:18	decide 25:19 38:18	disputed 41:28
compatible 41:16	converted 40:12	decision 25:17 29:23 31:8,26 35:22,24 37:23, 28 38:5 44:17	division 6:1,14 28:7
completely 33:7	corporations 3:16 24:5	deliberative 44:13	documents 40:8 44:4
complex 31:11	Correct 15:27 16:2 17:10,14	demanding 40:5	Doherty 3:4 4:15 5:22, 27 6:7,13,18,23 7:8,11, 20,25 8:13,17,20,27 9:3,6,19,24 10:11,13,19 11:7,14,27 12:4,16,20 13:3,7,24,28 14:14,19, 23 15:5,9,21,28 16:3,6, 13,27 17:4,11,15,26 18:12,18 19:10,28 20:4, 17,22 21:9,13,26 22:2, 11,15,19 23:11 26:9 28:13 29:27 30:18,21, 24 32:22,26 33:26 35:13 36:6,17,19 37:4, 14 39:7 41:24 42:22 43:20,25 44:1,6,18
comprise 8:5	cost 33:5 38:26	Department 24:18 40:9	dollar 8:10 24:14
compromise 31:4	costs 21:4 39:23 40:12	depends 16:27	dollars 28:21 29:13,17
concern 26:15 33:1 36:23	Cottle 10:19,21,23 11:11,14,17	design 33:6	Donlan 9:25
	Counsel 12:24	detail 30:15	door 3:27
	County 18:5	determination 4:24 16:19 29:22	draft 28:16 30:1
	couple 7:9 11:10 32:2 34:5	determine 28:27	dropped 42:12
	court 5:2 20:12,21	determining 15:2	
	courtyard 4:1,4	develop 5:5	
	coverage 39:22 41:3	devote 40:19	
	CPUC 19:19 20:12 28:1 40:24,28	Diego 6:19 42:6	

due 25:7,27 31:5 38:2	entities 16:1 17:1	extremely 25:5	forward 27:18,21 28:10
DWR 32:4,9 33:13,15 35:3,8 38:15	entity 11:19 22:26 36:13	<hr/> F <hr/>	found 29:15 41:1
<hr/> E <hr/>	environment 18:28 19:2	facilities 12:27	Foundation 18:26,27 19:13
earlier 26:15	environmental 21:19	fact 29:6,8,24 41:28 42:4	franchise 32:13
early 11:24	EPUC 9:7,12 38:11	factors 40:24	Francisco 3:1 11:1
Earthquake 39:26	Equity 7:2,14,16,23	factually 42:16	Fred 6:3
East 11:1	essence 40:18	fairly 21:23	free 29:9
Edison 5:18,21 9:14 10:3 12:13 42:7,12	established 19:18 24:8	fall 32:15	front 9:4
Edward 19:16	estimated 8:10 24:14	familiar 23:27 35:27	fulfill 29:8
effectively 39:3	ethically 41:21	Farm 12:5,7,9,12,18	full 27:5
Electric 5:23,28 6:8,12, 19 9:15 42:6	evaluate 33:20	fashion 17:13 43:19	fully 44:16
electrical 3:15 24:5	evaluating 33:8	Federation 12:5,8,18	functional 21:1
eligibility 34:11,13 35:22,25 36:2	Evelyn 8:27 9:9 38:11	feel 33:14 42:1,28	fund 3:18 24:8,12,22 29:6 34:10,15,18 36:3 39:14,28 42:8,17
eligible 34:18	event 34:12	fees 32:14	fundamentally 41:20
Elise 22:4	evidentiary 39:11 40:19 41:8,12,21,26 42:20	file 7:19 11:4,25,26 13:1 14:12 18:10	funds 34:25
Ellison 9:25	ex-parte 23:17	filed 4:10,13 22:22 35:21 39:16,21	future 31:27 42:7,8
emergency 3:21 4:7	examine 29:19	filing 8:17,19 10:10,11 15:20 16:21 19:27 21:8, 24 22:10 35:24 42:21	<hr/> G <hr/>
emphasize 42:28	examined 42:20	final 37:23	G-I-B-S-O-N 5:25
Employees 14:24,27 15:8	examines 29:4	finalized 4:25	G-R-A-F 14:26
enabling 26:2	examining 29:7	finally 4:22	garden 3:25
encompass 30:28	excepts 40:3	Finance 40:10	Gary 5:20
end 9:13 31:6	excess 39:22	financial 12:11 43:12	Gas 6:8,12,19 9:15 42:6
energy 7:26 8:3,23,28 9:11,21 11:20 13:8,10, 26 15:10,13,14,15,24, 25,26 16:7,9,11,14,17 17:7,9,18,24,27 18:4,16	exercise 3:13 24:3	fire 21:5	general 7:17 30:26
engage 37:11	existing 39:19	fires 42:8	generally 19:23 34:26
engagement 19:8	exits 3:20	fits 28:8	Gibson 5:24,25 6:16
engaging 37:3	expected 11:23 37:28	focusing 12:10	give 41:17
ensure 21:20 28:10	expedite 38:19	folks 36:28	goals 21:19
ensuring 15:16	expedited 31:2 33:2 37:21 38:2,13,24 43:11, 19	follow 3:22 32:8 35:1	Golden 6:1,4
enter 16:25	explain 35:18	follow-up 41:25	good 3:6 5:24 6:3,21,23 7:28 9:28 10:21 14:25 18:1,24 19:15 20:5 21:14 37:2
entered 25:6	explored 32:21	food 20:7	government 40:16
	extensively 37:12	foremost 43:18	Governor 41:16
	extent 36:26 38:15,20	formal 21:24	Graf 14:23,25,26

grant 16:4 17:15	44:12,16	32:18 35:5	invite 28:18
granted 7:21,24 8:21, 24 9:20,22 10:14,17 11:8,12,28 12:3,17,19 13:4,6,25,27 14:20,21 15:6,8 16:8,10,12 17:19,21,23,24 18:13, 17 19:11,13 20:1,3,18, 19 21:10,12,27,28 22:12,14	Honor's 44:2	increased 26:1	involved 20:10
grounds 40:20	Hook 21:13,14,15 30:25 32:22	incumbent 41:19	IOU 13:15
group 12:25	hoping 26:14	industrial 8:5 10:3 12:26	IOUS 25:25 29:14 35:7 43:13
groups 21:7	horizon 11:15,18 12:2	Industries 13:8,10,26	IRP 38:28
guaranteed 25:7	horrendous 38:27	industry 13:14	issue 23:5 25:10,13,19, 21 28:25 29:2 30:7 34:27 36:20 37:22,24 38:15,18,19
<hr/> H <hr/>	hour 44:25	informal 37:8	issued 4:26 24:9 25:24
H-A-U-G 7:4	House 3:26 4:5	information 5:11	issues 4:22 7:18 11:3 19:23 20:13 21:4 23:22, 25 24:21,26 25:2,28 26:4,13 28:16 30:1,9,27 31:1,9,11 32:21 33:28 34:6 35:10,20 37:13 39:3,11 41:28 42:4,19 43:2
half 5:25	hurry 38:14	informed 44:16,17	iterative 27:4
handled 27:28	<hr/> I <hr/>	infrastructure 12:26	it's 9:3 11:23 16:20 41:19
hands 18:21 22:20	idea 31:9 36:9	installing 13:21	<hr/> J <hr/>
happened 42:18	ideas 37:11	Institute 37:20	Jeanne 13:7,9
happy 30:23	identical 17:13	Instituting 5:13 23:3, 24 28:19	Jed 5:25 6:16
hardest 12:9	identified 23:24 42:1, 19	institutional 7:1,14,16, 23	Joesph 18:2
Harris 9:25	impact 12:11 13:17,20 33:23 36:14	insufficient 39:5	joining 6:24
Haug 7:3,4,10,16	impacts 21:22	insurance 39:22	Joseph 17:27
hearing 18:20 37:7,10 39:11 42:20 43:25	implementation 12:28	Integrated 38:28	Josh 15:12
hearings 40:20 41:8, 12,22,27 42:1	implemented 28:5	integrity 40:28	Joshua 15:9
held 21:25	implications 19:6 21:5 25:23,27 26:7,16 27:15, 17,26 37:1 43:13	intend 7:18 10:9 21:7	Judge 3:4 4:16 42:14
Henricks 20:7,19	importance 27:23	intends 11:4 20:13 33:12	Judges 41:1
Herbst 3:25 4:5	important 30:28 31:28	intent 35:12	July 4:10
high-voltage 10:27	impose 24:6 31:18	interest 7:13,17 8:7 9:7 11:24 15:1 16:18 18:28 19:3,22	justness 33:8,20
hired 40:15	imposed 10:6,8 24:24 25:15	interested 9:17 10:6 11:2 12:10,27 13:17 18:8 21:19	<hr/> K <hr/>
homes 13:21	imposition 24:11 31:16 33:9	interests 15:16 16:28 20:27	K-A-H-L 9:10
Honor 5:24 6:10,21 7:3 8:1 9:1,28 10:12,22 11:17 12:6,22 14:25 15:11 16:15,23 17:3,10, 14 18:1 19:16 20:5,15 22:3 25:1 30:3 32:28 34:2 36:8,23 38:10 39:9 40:26 41:11 42:21	include 28:25	intersection 4:2	K-A-S-N-I-T 20:25
	including 4:28 13:14 14:12 19:24 21:1 24:8 29:7 38:25,26	intertwined 33:8	Kahl 7:28 8:27 9:1,5,9, 23 12:6 38:9,10,11 39:7
	income 21:3	intervenor 19:18	
	incorporate 26:14	investment 40:16,18	
	incorporated 27:11	investments 40:11	
	increase 25:5,20 26:7	investor-owned 22:8	
		Investors 7:2,14,17,23	

Karen 12:4,6	load 32:20	meaningful 31:5	11:8,28 12:17 13:4,25
Kasnitz 20:24,25 21:10	Lopez 19:15,16	meaningfully 31:21	14:19 15:6 16:4 17:16
Keith 6:5	lot 27:9 31:21,24 32:3, 17 36:24 42:17	32:21	18:13 19:10,28 20:18
kind 34:4	loud 44:7	means 27:17 35:4	21:10,27 22:12
kinds 38:23	low 21:3	measure 42:5	motions 6:28 20:15
<hr/>	lowest 21:16	meet 34:12	move 22:28 23:1,22
L	Lynch 40:15	meetings 36:27	27:1 37:19 39:27 40:1
L-I-E-B-E-R-T 10:1	Lynn 7:4	Melissa 20:24	41:9
L-O-P-E-Z 19:16	Lyons 6:21,22 30:3	member 25:25	moving 27:20
labor 14:28	<hr/>	members 8:5	<hr/>
language 25:9 27:14	M	membership 19:19	N
31:14	M-A-U-R-A-T-H 18:25	memo 37:25	names 5:12
large 7:26 8:3,5,23 9:13	M-I-L-L-S 12:7	mentioned 26:15	national 13:12
10:2 12:25 25:5 42:4	machinery 40:1	Merrill 40:15	nature 24:17 38:2
Law 3:4 40:28 42:14	made 10:2 15:23 17:12	method 25:23	Ness 3:23 4:2,3
leave 3:27	35:19	metrics 27:9,11	Network 19:17 20:2
legal 25:13,18 29:4	major 13:15 29:14	Michael 12:20,23 20:6	22:5,13
legality 19:4 26:5	make 20:14 26:19	35:16	Nextera 11:19
legally 28:26 29:22	32:24 36:28 37:12 38:7, 12 39:10 42:16 44:15, 17	million 28:20 29:13	non-bypassable 3:17
legislative 3:10	makes 31:27	39:17 41:2	15:17 16:19 18:9 19:4
legislators 41:17	making 27:10 43:17	Mills 12:4,7,17	24:6,12,22
legislature 25:16	mandatory 31:18	mind 30:21 36:10 41:6	non-profit 18:27
29:12 40:22	manner 32:9 33:13	ministerial 25:26	nonbypassable 30:10
let's 3:5 17:4 23:22	Manufacturers 9:26	mispronouncing	32:15
level 16:28	10:16	18:14	nonexistent 40:13,14
levels 9:18	March 38:25	mission 21:16	Nora 7:25 8:2
levied 19:26	marin 17:9,24	mitigation 14:7,11	normal 38:4
Liberty 5:22,23,26	market 40:4	26:18,23,27 27:2,6,13	North 14:5
Liebert 9:24,28 10:1,12	Mateo 18:5	28:4,9 43:3,8	note 14:15 22:21 38:12
light 37:22	material 41:28 42:4	modification 25:17	noted 38:23
Lillian 8:1	matter 37:23 44:25	modified 23:18	noticed 4:11
lines 28:2	matters 43:26 44:22	moment 32:12	number 24:2,11,14,17, 21 26:17 28:25 29:16
Lisa 10:19,23	Maurath 18:25	money 29:24 31:22	43:4
list 4:21 5:5,8	Mavrath 24:27,28	monterey 17:7,20	<hr/>
listed 5:10,11	Mcallister 3:23,24 4:2	morally 41:20	O
LLC 10:20,24 11:12,16, 18,20 12:2	meaning 30:10 36:17	morning 3:6 5:24 6:3, 21 7:6,28 9:28 10:21	objections 23:12
		14:25 18:1,24 19:15	obligation 31:7
		20:5 21:14	observing 38:13
		motion 8:21 9:20 10:14	obtain 21:16

occurred 39:15	parties 5:7,14 23:5 24:25 28:15 29:28 37:26 38:1,5,7 39:2 43:27 44:22	plan 8:14 9:7,15 13:22 15:3,28 19:6 21:23 22:9 43:3	proceedings 14:7 20:11,16 21:25 27:28 29:20 30:5 38:23 41:9 42:13
October 37:24 38:1	parties' 31:4	planning 27:6 28:4 38:28	process 25:7,27 28:4 31:2,5 43:5,7,16 44:13
office 4:27 21:16,28 30:26	party 5:10 6:26 7:12,21, 24 8:24 9:22 10:18 11:5,13,25 12:3,19 13:6,27 14:21 15:8 16:8,10,12 17:19,21,23, 25 18:17,21 19:10,14 20:3,19 21:12 22:1,14, 16,24,28 23:7	plans 26:18,23,27 27:2, 11,13 28:9 43:8	processes 27:5 43:12
OIR 30:4 37:24	Patrick 4:15	pleasing 41:16	Producers 8:28 9:11, 21
one-third 29:17	pay 34:21 40:13	pleasure 44:3	project 11:23
open 37:10 41:6,9	paying 10:5 34:22	point 31:13	proposed 13:18 37:28 38:4,6,20 39:4,13 42:7, 24 43:23
opening 37:25	payments 24:9	portion 29:6	provide 29:24
Opera 3:26 4:5	peninsula 17:27 18:3, 16	position 25:3 30:11 35:23	provided 29:25
opinion 34:23	people 13:20	possibility 41:9	provider 20:7
opportunities 19:7	perfectly 30:23	possibly 32:6	providing 13:14
opportunity 11:6 31:20	performance-based 27:9,10	potential 31:3	provisions 31:25
opposed 43:13,18	period 27:25 38:4	Power 6:14 17:8,20,22	prudent 41:1
oral 6:28	permitted 34:14	practical 27:17	public 3:14 8:11 10:26 21:15,28 24:4,16 29:11, 25 30:22,25 36:24,27 37:3,6,9,11
order 3:7 5:4,13 16:26 23:2,23 28:19 35:5 37:20	perspective 37:5	preceding 42:11	pursuant 19:5 24:9,19
ordinarily 27:28	Petroleum 12:21,24 13:5	precipitated 41:4	put 33:5 36:16 41:7 44:8
organization 5:9 7:7 9:13 13:13	PG&E 6:9 8:6 10:3 12:13 14:15,17 18:6,7 29:7 34:3,9 36:10,11 42:5,11	prehearing 3:8 4:12 22:23 25:4 34:4 36:25 38:11 42:21	puts 26:22
original 38:21	phase 30:8,14,15 31:11 32:21 33:6	preliminarily 23:25	
originally 32:4 35:2	phases 30:5,6 31:10	preliminary 24:26	
outlined 19:23	phasing 31:9	present 5:16	
owns 10:26	PHC 4:12 23:6	presentation 35:19	
	phrase 30:10	presented 35:19	Q
	piking 7:13	President 6:5	
	pioneer 15:14,24 16:9	prioritize 26:3	question 41:25
	place 3:8 27:5,6,7 43:14	procedural 43:26 44:22	questions 7:9 32:11
	places 34:10	procedure 39:1	quickly 27:1 30:19
		proceed 3:25 4:3	quiet 27:25
		proceeding 3:12 4:16, 24 5:7,15 7:15,18 8:15 9:8 10:10 11:3 12:10 14:13 15:16 18:21 19:3, 9 21:20 22:10,17 23:2, 4,14,23,26 26:1,14,16 27:1 29:3 30:6,8 36:27 37:21 38:3 42:24 43:1, 3,4,23	quote 40:17
			R
			R-A-F-I-I 8:1
			Rafii 8:1,13,16,19,26
			raise 30:12
			rate 21:21 25:19 33:6 35:5

ratepayer 14:16,18	relationship 39:20 40:3	respect 32:18 39:6	S-T-O-O-P-S 15:12
ratepayers 3:16 8:8 12:11,12 19:1,20,21 25:8 28:11 30:17	reliable 21:18	respond 30:20	safe 21:18 28:11
rates 21:17 25:5,28 26:7 37:2	remain 35:7	respondent 5:16	safer 43:14
ratesetting 23:4,8,13, 16	remainder 4:23	respondents 5:6,13 6:24	safety 14:9 19:5 21:6 25:22,23,28 26:3,7,15 27:18 28:7 37:1
rational 39:20 40:2	remind 40:26	responds 3:10	san 3:1 6:19 9:13 11:1 18:5 42:6
read 5:12	reminder 4:28	response 22:18 23:10 43:24	SCE 5:19
real 25:26,28	repeat 23:28	responsibilities 27:20	SCE's 8:6
reason 34:17 40:27	rephrase 44:11	rest 41:4	schedule 4:23 25:6,15 33:2 37:16,19,21 38:6, 13,27 39:5 42:24 43:23
reasonable 8:9 15:3, 18 16:20 21:22 24:13 28:12,28 31:17 34:24	reply 37:27	restriction 25:16	Schneider 9:25
reasonableness 10:7 18:8 19:25 33:9,21	report 40:22	restrictions 34:11	scope 4:22 23:23,26 30:1,27 33:28 36:20,26 37:16,18 38:8
reasons 31:25	reporter 5:2 20:21	result 10:4	scoped 7:18 11:3 19:23
received 40:9	represent 14:27 19:19 20:6,27 22:6	revenue 8:10 24:15 33:5,12,15,19 34:27 35:3,8	scoping 4:26 28:16 37:25
receiving 34:25	representation 36:24	reviewable 31:27	Scott 16:13,16
Rechtschaffen 4:18 23:15	representations 15:23 17:11	reworked 26:22	SDG&E 6:20,22 10:4 12:13 19:20 20:9 30:2 41:1 42:10
recommend 23:14 25:1 26:5	representative 5:10, 15 22:25	ride 29:10	Section 3:15 24:4,9,16, 20
recommended 31:12	representing 6:4,11 9:26 10:24 11:15 14:1 21:15 34:3	rights 31:4	seeking 11:5 22:16 37:25
record 3:5 5:17 14:15 15:22 22:21 23:28 44:24	represents 12:12 13:13 18:28	rise 12:28	seeks 7:12
reduced 29:16	requests 6:26,28 18:19 44:4	Ron 10:1	SEIA 13:11,12
redwood 16:14,16,21 17:6,18	require 3:15 24:5	Ronald 9:24	Senate 23:19,21
refer 27:12 40:10	required 28:26 40:21	room 3:21 18:20 22:16	sense 42:17
reference 34:4	requirement 8:11 24:15 35:3,8	row 9:4	separate 16:1 30:5,8, 15
references 30:4	requirements 34:8,13, 28	rulemaking 3:9,10 4:10 5:14 23:3,24 28:20 37:20 38:21	seq 24:10
referred 8:11 24:15	residential 13:15 19:21 20:28 22:7	rulemakings 5:6	sequence 16:25
refers 40:17	residents 14:9 27:18 28:10 43:10,14	rules 23:17,18,20	serve 29:18 36:15
Reform 22:5,13	Resolution 23:19	ruling 4:26	service 4:21 5:5,28 8:6 11:23 12:13 13:16 21:18
regard 20:15 44:5	resolve 39:10	rushed 31:3 43:5,6	serving 18:5
rehearing 35:21	resolved 42:10	rushes 43:6	
related 29:2	Resource 38:28	ruth 20:6,19	
relating 24:21	Resources 24:19		
		<hr/> S <hr/>	
		S-E-I-A 13:12	
		S-H-E-R-I-F-F 8:2	
		S-O-M-M-E-R 18:25	

set 8:12 37:20 39:4,13 42:17	staff 25:25	surcharge 13:18,20	total 31:22
settled 33:16	stake 31:22	survivor 14:4	touched 23:5
share 15:15	stand 7:8 9:2	Switzer 6:6	tough 39:10
Sheriff 7:26 8:2	standing 8:2	<hr/>	trade 13:12
shooting 39:25	start 18:22 28:27 41:10	T	trans 10:20,24,27 11:2, 12,25
shorten 38:3	started 3:19	T-O-R-R-E-S 22:6	transmission 10:28 11:15,18,20,22 12:2
side 41:11	starting 5:17 40:1	takes 27:6 40:7	transmission-owning 10:25 11:21
signs 3:22	state 5:16 6:1,4 9:6 26:28 43:8	taking 29:8 35:23 41:10 44:3	transparency 14:10 27:23
silicon 15:14,25 16:11	State's 21:18	talk 23:28	Tree 18:26 19:13
similar 15:16	statement 22:23 25:4 34:5 38:12,24	talking 28:21 37:6 38:8 39:23	triggers 23:16
Simon 42:15	statements 4:12 23:6	talks 28:20	turn 3:24 4:4 22:5,12 33:1,10
simply 34:16	States 12:21,24 13:5	taxes 32:13	two-phased 33:4
sir 5:27 6:7,13 19:28	status 6:26 7:21,24 8:25 9:22 10:18 11:5, 13,26 12:3,19 13:6,27 14:22 15:8 16:8,10,12 17:19,21,23,25 18:17 19:10,14 20:3,20 21:12 22:1,14,24,28 36:10,11	Technology 9:27 10:17 20:27 21:11	<hr/>
slowing 43:16	statute 31:8,14,25 32:7 33:14 34:10 35:1	terminally-ill 20:8	U
slowly 5:1	statutory 34:8 35:12 36:2	terms 14:9 27:18 37:3 44:3	UCAN 19:17
small 19:21 22:7	stay 11:9	territories 13:16	unable 7:5
socialize 36:28	steady 43:8	territory 8:7 12:14	unbundled 33:25
solar 13:8,10,14,19,21, 26	step 14:8 26:19 37:2	test 35:22	uncertain 36:11
sold 36:14	steps 27:7 29:7	Theatre 3:26 4:5	unclear 38:14,21
Sommer 18:23,24,25 24:27,28	Stoops 15:9,11,12,22, 27 16:2,5	thing 29:1 44:4	uncollectibles 32:14
sonoma 17:8,22	Street 3:23	things 26:20 31:28 32:6	understand 25:9 27:14,26 28:6 31:6 36:17 37:13
sort 28:8 39:25 40:5 44:4	stress 35:22	thinking 44:7	understands 33:1
sounds 28:22	strides 26:17	three-year 26:23	underwater 10:28
south 3:24	submitting 12:14	time 3:8 31:23,24 32:1, 7 33:28 38:16,17 39:2,4 40:19 42:5,25 43:1,27 44:23	uninsured 39:23 41:2
southbound 4:3	successor 36:13	today 4:18,20 5:4,17 6:24 18:3 32:18 36:25	unions 14:28
Southern 5:18,21 9:14 42:6,12	suggest 40:18	told 29:11,12,25	universally 26:26
sparked 36:9	suggestion 36:23	Tom 20:25	updates 23:20 26:24
speak 5:1,3	support 3:17 24:7	topics 4:25	urge 43:15
speaking 36:1	Supreme 20:12	Torres 22:3,4,6,12 32:27,28 33:26 36:7,8, 18	urgencies 41:5
specifically 8:8			users 8:28 9:11,13,21
spell 12:9			utilities 3:14 5:26 8:11 15:1 22:8 24:4,16 26:3 27:20 29:5,23 41:5
split 29:13			
spot 44:9			

Utilities/calpico 5:22	wishing 18:20
utility 10:25,26 11:21 14:24,27 15:7 19:17 20:2 21:2 22:5,13 29:14,17 35:20 36:14	withstanding 38:6
	wondering 28:24 41:27
	word 12:9
<hr/> V <hr/>	work 14:10,28 27:9 36:12
Valley 5:28 6:2,5 15:15, 25 16:11	workings 28:8
Van 3:23 4:2,3	worries 18:15
Vice 6:5	written 6:25,27 18:19
view 34:27 35:10 44:6	<hr/> Y <hr/>
vulnerable 21:6	Yanney 6:3
<hr/> W <hr/>	year 11:24 28:21
W-I-E-D-M-A-N 18:2	years 28:22
wait 33:18	yesterday 29:15 35:20 39:26
wanted 3:19 42:27	<hr/> Z <hr/>
wanting 41:15	z- 20:25
Warner 6:10,11 34:1,2, 3 35:13,18,26 36:1	zebra 20:26
warranted 12:15	
Water 6:1,4 24:10,19	
week 37:25	
West 11:15,18 12:2	
western 12:21,24 13:5	
Wiedman 17:27 18:1,2, 13,15	
wild 18:26 19:13	
wildfire 3:18 14:4,7 20:10,12 21:21 24:7,12, 22 26:18,23,27 27:2,6, 13 28:4,9 36:3 38:26 39:14,22,23,28 40:10, 12,13 41:3 42:8,17 43:3,8	
wildfires 14:5 39:14 42:18	
wildlife 19:2	
william 13:28 14:3,21	
wishes 34:9	